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Last summer I had the privilege of visiting Jerome Ellul and his parents at their home outside Bordeaux, and of examining some of Jacques Ellul’s archives with Jérôme, in particular a number of handwritten poems which had never been published. As a professor of French literature I was drawn to the poems and eager to help Jérôme with transcribing them into typewritten form and then translating them into English. The first four poems that Jérôme scanned and sent me were poems Ellul had written to accompany the publication of his book *The Meaning of the City*, but which the editor had declined to publish at the time. It is evident from our current vantage point that these poems not only enhance the substance of Ellul’s book, but that their very personal meaning also sheds light on the author himself, who dared to expose his emotions and experiences in a way that reveals both his profound engagement with this topic, and indeed, a part of his inner life that he may not have divulged elsewhere. While the book explains theologically the essential concepts of Ellul’s city, it is in the poems that he explores his own experience of living in the city, with a parallel unfolding of themes.

Anyone reading these poems who is not familiar with the book would certainly be surprised, if not perplexed, by the vehemence of the author in his condemnation of the city, as it finds little explanation in the poems themselves. It is only when they are read in conjunction with the book that the basis for the poet’s attitude is disclosed. Thus, I would like to take a few moments to review the major themes of the book pertaining to an understanding of the poems, before turning to the poems themselves.

*The Meaning of the City*, as a theological work, analyses the role of the city as portrayed throughout the Bible with tremendous scope and originality — with the city’s development being used as a metaphor for the trajectory of humankind, from its rejection of God to its final redemption through Christ. Instead of focusing first on humanity’s origins in the Garden of Eden, Ellul begins with man’s revolt against God and its manifestation in the building of the first city by the first murderer, Cain, thereby conferring on the city from the outset the notion of spiritual rebellion which Ellul sees as its root. Condemned to be a fugitive and a wanderer for the sin of having killed his brother, Cain flees from the presence of the Lord and builds a city, in an attempt to end his wandering and establish a secure place, a home, which in fact he never finds. For Ellul, “The seed of all man’s questings is to be found in Cain’s life in the land of
wandering” (3). His relationship with God now broken, he finds no comfort in the mark of protection God puts on him. Ellul affirms, “The city is the direct consequence of Cain’s murderous act and of his refusal to accept God’s protection . . . For God’s Eden he substitutes his own, for the goal given to his life by God, he substitutes a goal chosen by himself – just as he substituted his own security for God’s. Such is the act by which Cain takes his destiny on his own shoulders, refusing the hand of God in his life” (5).

Ellul sees in Cain’s creation of the city the beginning of all civilization. He goes on to elucidate the origins of basically all the significant cities in the Bible, stating that “All the builders were sons of Cain and act with his purpose” (10). Tracing the steps of Nimrod and other builders, he examines the multiple purposes of the city as it develops, including the role of Nineveh as an agent of war, Babylon as the synthesis of civilization, and Pharaoh’s cities as economic strongholds, showing that there are spiritual powers behind each of these. Spiritually speaking, the kings of Israel fare no better than their pagan counterparts, despite having been chosen by God. Beginning with Solomon, they succumb to their desire for power and riches and put their confidence elsewhere than in the Lord when they decide to build their cities. The central problem the city represents for Israel, according to Ellul, is the clash between the spiritual power of the city, and the spirit of grace which God wants to bring to bear upon the city. There is a fundamental opposition between the Lord and the city, and a “consciousness . . . of the city as a world for which man was not made” (42).

The Meaning of the City thus provides the theological underpinnings for Ellul’s depiction of the city in his poems. For Ellul, “The city is cursed. She is condemned to death because of everything she represents” (47). Ellul cannot do otherwise but reject the city in his poetry, just as he sees God doing in his theology. The reason for this divine rejection, Ellul maintains, is that “[i]nto every aspect . . . of the city’s construction has been built the tendency to exclude God” (53). This would seem all the more so in the modern city, where natural beauty has been replaced by lifeless artifice, and human agency by technological progress. Before touching on the final destiny of the city, as it unfolds at the end of Ellul’s book, let us now turn to the poems Ellul wished to incorporate into his exegesis of the city, considering not only their poetic value, meaning, and relation to the book, but in particular, their revelatory value as it applies to Ellul’s life, emotions, and personal spiritual journey, as a man living in the city, like most of us are compelled to do.

Ellul’s City in Poetry
Poem 1 - Lights over the City

I followed my dream in the heart of strange cities
Amid cast-iron flowers and cement tree trunks.
Everything is natural and simple and my dream rushes
Past hearts completely mass-produced – hearts made of magnets.

A button – everything lights up and the sky becomes red
Red that is truly astonishing – red over the city
And this sky where not a single bird still moves
Seems to be a piece of ground where some enormous drunk has vomited
The drunk, is it me? Man? (with a capital M)
The Machine? The fluid? or perhaps heaven?
I do not know – I see and continuously chew on
This discovery like a honey-filled candy
A dream under heaven? What a joke
I certainly want to hang on to « civilizations »
But let them produce flowers, even faded ones
Something human – not excrement

However over there, very far away (a bell tolls)
A man alone finds himself in a bright fire
And a dense vibration – everything purrs
The walls of white marble – of grey everite
A rough cement ground and the opal window panes
with a faint glimmer coming from the rust-colored copperware.
Everything purrs – and vibrates – strange pale coloring
Which slowly coats and then swallows everything.
In the middle, without a sound – without moving – without life
Black transformers crouched down every evening
strive – without passion (a man watches them closely)
And without knowing why – to flood the black sky.

“Lights over the City” is a very personal poem, as the first-person pronouns “I” and “my” immediately reveal. We begin with the poet following his dream, which turns out to be more of a nightmare, as it thrusts him into heart of the city, where all is false, just like the hearts of the people who live there. The industrial forms of cast-iron and cement which replace the natural vegetation in the city’s landscape reflect, in fact, the inhabitants’ hearts made of magnets. Forged in the hardest of materials, incapable of expressing true emotion or individuality, these hearts have all come out the same, and their force of
attraction is anything but human. Ironically everything appears to be natural and simple, as if it has always been this way; it is only the poet who is not duped by what he sees.

In the sky, a simple button turns everything to red, and through artificial illumination, alluded to in the title, nature is once again obliterated; and just as there is no natural vegetation in the city, there are no birds flying in the sky. The metaphor used to describe the sky is as repulsive as the poet can possibly make it: it is nothing but an ugly stretch of ground, entirely vilified by the vomit of an enormous drunk.

Through this and the other images in the poem, the senses of the reader are attacked by the portrait of the city that emerges: the stench of vomit fills our nostrils like the foulness of the pollution that blankets the modern city; visually speaking, everything is artificial – from the industrially made imitations of plants and trees to the red, electric light; on a tactile level, everything is hard and cold, including people’s hearts; and the absence of birds moving in the sky, while suggesting the death of nature, reinforces the sense of immobility in this stifling atmosphere.

These impressions of the city are followed by the poet’s interrogations as to the source of the vile substance that now transforms the sky – not only destroying the natural canopy of light, but figuratively, one might add, obscuring our dreams of truth and beauty, freedom and dignity, and highlighting the city’s failure to produce anything of worth for humanity. Does the responsibility lie with the individual, the society, the technological world we have created, or elsewhere, the poet ponders. While not rejecting human civilization outright, he nevertheless condemns in the strongest possible terms our modern relinquishment of all that is human, for the sake of a society that produces nothing but dung, nothing but a betrayal of all that we are.

The last verse of the poem depicts again a presumably red light, a bright fire, now accompanied by the dense vibration of an electrically charged environment permeating the whole cityscape. Everything is swallowed up by the strange pale coloring that fills the atmosphere, emanating from black transformers crouched down in the night like beasts in the jungle, flooding the black sky with their abnormal light. An absence of passion typifies this electrically controlled world, overseen nevertheless by man, and evoking once again the city dwellers’ hearts of magnets, suggesting now the possibility of electromagnets, running on electricity and manipulated by its current, reinforcing the absence of the human and the power of technology in this strange city humankind has built for itself.
Poem 2 - Streets

Oh streets, empty streets, streets muddy with people and mud!
Streets that swallow up women, drunkards, and madmen
Streets I so often walked
And where for a long time I searched
In vain
Something that was me!

Ah, streets! Polished and mundane levellers
Where I must walk at the same pace, at the breakneck speed
Of everyone, of all!
Of all those who are not crazy!
And I am
All that which is not me!

Neither I! Nor you, nor anyone, nor even (not even) shame
Draws attention in the street which goes, comes, descends, and rises
Because it’s all the same
From the marvelous awakening
of the rooster
Until evening when I sleep –

Everything is meaningless in the street, especially life
Everything is hidden under a respectable veil, and envy
Shakes amiably, callously, the hand
Of vice, only to choke tomorrow
And at daybreak
Reappear around me

Ah, streets, I hate you in my heart, great swallower of souls
Breathing your skillful, artificial, and shameful flame
into uneven walkers of your polished paving stones
into walkers that your paving stones render polished too,
And empty, just like me

In Ellul’s second poem, “Streets,” he opens up on an even more personal level, situating himself in the city in a very tangible way. Like the “strange pale coloring” in the previous poem, the streets swallow up
the passers-by, especially the vulnerable. The poet’s familiarity with these streets is accompanied by a sense of alienation which runs throughout the poem, although it is not technology which alienates him this time, but the superficiality of the people who walk the streets, and the absence of meaning which characterizes their lives. The poet seeks his identity in streets he cannot relate to, although the reader may sense they are simply a catalyst for his intense self-searching which will never find answers here, having little to do, in reality, with the streets themselves.

The pressure to conform is revealed in the second verse, where the poet is reduced to the common level of the masses, advancing at the same pace as them, unable to maintain a distinct identity, and turning into something he knows is not him. Nothing stands out in the crowd, either positively or negatively, because “everything is meaningless in the street, especially life.” The poet’s existential crisis is lived in the street, heightened by the banality and pretense of the people around him, arousing his hatred for all that is false, all that is polished and artificial in society. The streets are also a “swallower of souls,” because everyone has, in effect, sold their soul to the mediocrity of the city, renouncing a higher way and ending up empty, just like the poet. This emotional poem, replete with exclamation marks, evidently reflects a time in his life when Ellul was searching for truth and meaning and when nothing on the human level could satisfy his deepest longings, least of all the activities of the city played out in lifeless streets he was obliged to travel.

Poem 3

In the stench of urine and gasoline and sewers
And in the horrible drama of my tempted soul
And in the obscure words, remarks thrown up
To heaven, of hatred, fury, love

I saw randomly and wretchedly
Against the sky reeking of factories and vices
Two naked, straight, and harsh branches of winter
In the shape of a cross – a star in the background was looking on –

For the first time a ray of hope appears in the third poem, standing out against the vileness of the city, portrayed once again by images that irritate our senses. The poet himself is in the throes of a personal drama, as his soul wrestles with temptation – but this time he throws up some reckless words to heaven, the prayer of a desperate man, and in the midst of his hopelessness appears a sign: the symbol of the
cross, etched across the sky by two lifeless branches, but infused with hope by the star looking on, signifying certainly an animate being, a Being who cares and who sees our plight.

**Poem 4**

Light on the eyes, light in the sky
nothing rumbles or passes by disturbing the light
and the serenity of things is first
before all-powerful and all-existential
rustling of a leaf in the sun that envelops it
smothering of the soul in the hands of the living God
bitter sorrow endured within the trembling
fulfilment of the eyes covered with light

I only knew this in a brutal world
chaos of crushing iron, stone, and steel
where the flowers and fruit are forged from the abnormal
where abstraction escapes and the deformed creates
intoxicated with the averagely hideous city
what is the despair that came looking for me
what grandeur in this destiny that oppressed me
what madness in the passions, actions, thoughts – all shameful?

You alone, you know, oh God who was seeking me
despite myself, against myself, who came and loved me
for the sake of your love, your will, your very name
and who knew how to find within my feeble cowardice
the pure gold that you yourself put in the mud

In the mud of the city with narrow windows
where nothing is seen but a star-shaped lamp
as false as virtue, as mediocre as vice
where nothing is disclosed to astonished eyes
of the clear light purely poured down from your heaven, oh my God

Thus you found me – you loved me so much
that despite my fury and my taste for suicide
despite my strong desire to no longer be lucid
I had nothing left but a single port – all others being closed
by you yourself Lord who directed this struggle –
it was to recognize at last that this fall,
mortal, dreadful, to the chasm that you open
was the only view that you cover from my eyes

Oh last judgement!
last day that we live in the city of men!
city of factory smoke, of offices
that open at eight o’clock and smell of stale tobacco
city of hospitals where the patient is a number
city of prisons where the drama is words

Oh last judgement that descends in silence
on this man walking among other men

This final poem represents a drastic change in tone for the poet. Artificial light now changes to God’s pure light, as he relates a spiritual experience which has radically transformed his life. Having opened the door a crack to the hope of the cross in the previous poem, he now throws it wide open, flooding the first verse with light. In lines slightly reminiscent of Pascal’s “Memorial,” in which he begins the description of his dramatic conversion experience with the exclamation, “Fire,” Ellul focuses on the light that has opened his eyes to the truth of the Gospel: “Light on the eyes, light in the sky / nothing rumbles or passes by disturbing the light.” Serenity and nature replace the negative emotions and images of the previous poems, turning the “bitter sorrow endured within the trembling” to the “fulfilment of the eyes covered with light.” Just as the personal God Pascal discovers at the time of his conversion is not the God of “philosophers and scholars,” Ellul’s God is not, first and foremost, “all-powerful and all-existential,” but rather a loving God who seeks out the individual and guides him into His light.

This discovery has not come easily for the poet. Having endured in the “brutal world” of the city the “chaos of crushing iron, stone, and steel where the flowers and fruit are forged from the abnormal,” he realizes nonetheless that God was seeking him, despite his thoughts and actions, despite himself, and indeed, against his own natural tendencies to reject God. It is God’s love, described here in the most tender of terms, that made all the difference for Ellul, winning him over in the midst of his painful emotions, including suicidal thoughts and a desire to escape from reason, maybe even into madness. He understands that God was searching within his frail frame of dust the “pure gold” God himself had placed there, seeing both his eternal value and his rich potential in this life. He knows it was God who
directed his struggle and led him to Himself, and has protected him from the dreadful consequences of
the fall which he will never see, being covered by God's love.

This last thought leads Ellul to a sudden consciousness of the day of judgement, coming to end life in the
city, or the world, where ordinary lives are being lived out in total oblivion to their current degradation
or impending doom. The last two lines, somewhat surprising, suggest to me the poet’s awareness of
human destiny, which abruptly descends on him with the grim realization that there are others in this
world who have not yet seen the light, and whom he cannot forget as he goes about living in their midst.
His description of God’s love and grace throughout the poem seems too poignant for us to think that he
now fears judgment for himself, I would submit, but points rather to his quickened sense of
responsibility for the rest of humanity who have yet to experience this love.

My interpretation of these lines is reinforced by a chapter in The Meaning of the City, which, after an
extensive discussion of God's condemnation of the city throughout the book, opens with the following
words: “But it is in these cities we must live.” (72). Ellul then quotes Jeremiah’s injunction to the
captives of Israel being carried off to Babylon, “But seek the welfare of the city where I have sent you
into exile, and pray to the Lord on its behalf, for in its welfare will you find your welfare” (Jer. 29: 7). God
will carry out His own judgement, contends Ellul, but He asks us to participate in the life of the city and
to seek her welfare, praying for her and warning her of judgement. It is with this in mind that I read the
concluding lines of Ellul’s last poem, where the awareness of the coming judgment falls upon the poet
who knows, in the end, that he must reach out to the city.

I believe this poem is particularly significant in that it expresses in a very intimate way what Ellul was
reluctant to discuss throughout the rest of his work. In Jacques Ellul on Politics, Technology, and
Christianity, he does provide some insight into his conversion in his conversation with Patrick Chastenet,
saying of his encounter with God, “I knew myself to be in the presence of something so astounding, so
overwhelming that it entered me to the very centre of my being. That’s all I can tell you . . . Afterwards I
thought to myself ‘You have been in the presence of God’ ” (52). He also asserts that he has “never
written about [his conversion] and ha[s] no intention of doing so,” but adds, “As I have already explained
for my poems, they give away too much about me” (53). It is only though his poetry, then, that Ellul is
able to overcome his scruples, and invite his readers into his private world.
Before concluding his book, Ellul examines the role of Jerusalem in the world, and the watershed moment of Christ’s coming in history, presenting finally the miracle of the New Jerusalem, the heavenly city that transcends all that exists in the world. God does not restore His original order at the end, explains Ellul, but creates another, where He makes all things new. Man wanted to create a city where God would be excluded, but God will create a city where He will be all in all. It is here that Christ’s final victory will be realized, and where God himself will fulfill all the hopes of His people.

As we study the poems Ellul has produced to accompany this book, we see a progression from the themes of alienation and dehumanization, to a gradual revelation of hope and finally transcendent love. In tandem with the book, the poetic themes of depersonilization, degradation, and despair are intersected by a ray of hope that converges with the poet’s search for something more. While the book devotes a chapter to the transformation Christ’s life brought to the world, Ellul’s final poem relates the transformation of his own inner life through his encounter with Christ, powerfully contrasted with his earlier poems and concluding with his return to the needs of city and a realization of his new role. His poetry does not develop the latter themes of the book because it stops with his own personal story. But through his poetry he opens up his life in a way that makes his theology come alive and convinces us that it has much more to do with his own personal reality than with theory and exegesis alone.
